# JOB INSECURITY AND EMOTIONAL EXHAUSTION: EXAMINING THE BUFFERING ROLE OF PERCEIVED EMPLOYABILITY

Muhammed Nowfal S.\*, Nimmi P. M.\*, Sreejith S.\*\*

\*Research Scholar, School of Management Studies, CUSAT, Kerala, India. Email: muhammednowfal@cusat.ac.in

\*\*Assistant Professor, School of Legal Studies, CUSAT, Kerala, India.

**Abstract:** The outbreak of COVID-19 has triggered an unprecedented crisis in all industries and has had a considerable impact on individual employment. Research on job insecurity has focused on various antecedents, including personal and organisational level outcomes of job insecurity, and has recognised the detrimental effect of job insecurity on employee well-being. Among the various sub-dimensions of work-related well-being, emotional exhaustion has significantly prompted actions of detaching oneself emotionally and cognitively from work. The present study intends to explore the association between job insecurity and emotional exhaustion at work, among the employees working in the financial service sector (India). Drawing from COR, the study further examines the buffering role of perceived employability on this association. The data were collected from 254 employees working in the financial service sector, using a structured questionnaire. Our results suggest that employees, to a large extent, experience job insecurity, which directly affects the emotional well-being, causing emotional exhaustion. Furthermore, this research explored the role of perceived employability as a personal coping resource that buffers the impact of job insecurity on emotional exhaustion.

**Keywords:** Job Insecurity, Emotional Exhaustion, Perceived Employability, COR Theory

#### INTRODUCTION

'Depression and anxiety cost the global economy \$1 trillion per year in lost productivity (WHO). The emotional toll from the coronavirus pandemic will likely increase that cost in 2020 exponentially.' – AmTrust Financial, 2020

The outbreak of COVID-19 has triggered an unprecedented crisis in all industries and has had a considerable impact on individual employment (Jung, Jung & Yoon, 2021; Qualtrics, 2020). The adverse effects of the pandemic and concerns about employment status have left the employees in a state of anxiety or depression (Wilson et al., 2020). The employees fear losing their jobs because of technological upgradations, downsizing and restructuring, and mergers and acquisitions of organisations (Benach et al., 2014; Randa & Abrar, 2020). Many researchers have considered job insecurity (JI) as chronic work stress and have explored its detrimental effect from both the employee and organisational perspectives (McDonough; Probst, 2008;

De Witte, Vander Elst & De Cuyper, 2015; Jiang & Probst, 2015). Drawing from appraisal theory, many researchers suggest that job insecurity is a potential threat that results in increased strain and reduced well-being (Lazarus, 1999; Lazarus & Folkman, 1984). Further, the assumptions of COR theory supports this view; resource loss triggers further losses (Hobfoll, 1989), considering job security as a threat to existing resources, leading to reduced well-being, which is a further loss (Vander Elst, den Broeck, De Cuyper & De Witte, 2014). Evidence from stress research suggests that even the expectation of a stressful event can signify a source of anxiety that is as equally important as the actual event itself. According to transactional stress theory, the perception of JI could be conceptualised as a work stressor, as insecure employees perceive the threat of losing their jobs (Kinnunen et al., 2014). These pieces of evidence collectively support the view that JI is a work stressor.

There is strong theoretical evidence indicating that JI is commonly associated with increased burnout, withdrawal intentions, reduced job satisfaction, organisational commitment, worsening work performance, and a variety of other negative consequences (Cheng & Chan, 2008; Cuyper & Witte, 2006; De Cuyper, De Witte, Kinnunen & Nätti, 2010; Ashford, Lee & Bobko, 1989; Furaker & Berglund, 2014; Jacobson & Hartley, 1991; Lam, Fan & Moen, 2014). Evidence also indicates that the perception of job loss reduces work engagement, impairs well-being in terms of burnout, and reduces employee innovation (De Witte, Pienaar & De Cuyper, 2016; Niesen, Van Hootegem, Vander Elst, Battistelli & De Witte, 2018; Shoss, 2017). Nonetheless, although job insecurity is related to employee well-being in general, studies are limited concerning specific aspects of work-related well-being (De Witte, Pienaar & De Cuyper, 2016). Following the conceptualisation of work-related well-being by Warr (2007), the central focus of this study is on emotional exhaustion (EE). Emotional exhaustion, a critical component of burnout, has attracted the attention of researchers in recent years. Studies found that emotional exhaustion is directly associated with different job characteristics, and other elements of burnout are affected via exhaustion (Lee & Ashforth, 1996). Besides, a variety of theoretical models demonstrate that emotional exhaustion at work has a detrimental effect on different organisational outcomes, such as job performance, organisational citizenship behaviour, turnover intention, and organisational commitment (Lam, Liang, Ashford & Lee, 2015; Lee & Ashforth, 1996; Wright & Cropanzano, 1998), and have negative consequences on individuals, such as psychosocial problems, depression, and family difficulties (Hoops, 1999; Lee & Ashforth, 1996). Further, the studies validate that emotional exhaustion exhibits a more consistent and robust relationship with outcome variables than do the other two components of burnout, depersonalisation and personal accomplishment (Halbesleben & Bowler, 2007; Demerouti, Bakker, de Jonge, Janssen & Schaufeli, 2001). Therefore, the study aims to explore the effect of JI on emotional exhaustion among the employees working in the financial service sector (India).

Since the results in the literature on the consequences of job insecurity are not convergent, it is essential to identify potential factors, such as personal specific coping resources, which may affect the negative relationship between job insecurity and well-being (De Witte, Pienaar & De Cuyper, Review of 30 Years of Longitudinal Studies on the Association Between Job Insecurity and Health and Well-Being: Is There Causal Evidence?, 2016; Shoss, 2017). Further, research on the negative consequences of job insecurity includes indications of potential moderators that can alleviate the adverse effects. Previous studies have considered perceived employability as a possible buffer in mitigating work stress

and its adverse organisational outcomes (Berntson et al., 2010; Hootegem et al., 2018). Prior research has demonstrated that job insecurity has less detrimental consequences when employees perceive many rather than few job opportunities (Berntson et al., 2010; Sora et al., 2009). Therefore, the study explores the buffering role of perceived employability in the association between JI and EE.

## THEORETICAL FOUNDATION AND HYPOTHESIS DEVELOPMENT

#### **Job Insecurity and Emotional Exhaustion**

A rich panoply of research indicates an inverse relationship between job insecurity and employee well-being (Cheng & Chan, 2008). The present study considered work-related well-being, specifically the affective well-being at work suggested by Daniels (2000) and Warr (2007). Among the sub-dimensions of well-being at work, emotional exhaustion has significantly prompted actions of detaching oneself emotionally and cognitively from work (Kerse, Kocak & Ozdemir, 2018). Similarly, as the core dimension of job burnout, emotional exhaustion has been given more attention (Maslach et al., 2001). Therefore, the present study focuses on emotional exhaustion (EE). Emotional exhaustion, a critical constituent of burnout, is described as "feelings of being emotionally overextended and drained by one's contact with other people" and is often characterised by reduced energy and chronic fatigue (Maslach, Jackson & Leiter, 1996; Pines & Aronson, 1988). Studies found that EE diminishes employees' ability to fulfil job obligations (McCarthy et al., 2016) and results in psychological withdrawal (Chi & Liang, 2013), absenteeism (Bronkhorst & Vermeeren, 2016), and turnover intention (Bernerth, Walker, Walter & Hirschfeld, 2011). Empirical evidence shows JI to be a significant predictor of emotional exhaustion, and empirical studies have found a significant positive association between JI and EE (De Cuyper, De Witte, Vander Elst & Handaja, 2010; Vander Elst, den Broeck, De Cuyper & De Witte, 2014; Kinnunen, Mäkikangas, Mauno & De Cuyper, 2014). In the same vein, a longitudinal study predicted that job insecurity affects emotional exhaustion and is negatively related to mental distress (Mäkikangas & Kinnunen, 2003; De Cuyper et al., 2012). Therefore, it is evident that when employees feel insecure about their job, they are most likely to feel emotionally overextended. Based on the discussion, the authors hypothesise that:

H1: Job insecurity is positively related to emotional exhaustion.

#### **Moderating Role of Perceived Employability**

In a period of high vulnerability to changes in the world of work, an individual's mere perception of being employable is more critical to the individual, as the perception of a situation can affect an individual's behaviour, reactions, and thoughts, positively or negatively. To feel employable is a sign of optimism, and it enhances the real chance of acquiring employment. Situational and individual factors are equally important in deciding the perception of a situation (Lazarus & Folkman, 1984). Interactionist's perspective on employability suggests that situational and personal factors determine an individual's perception of a situation. The majority of authors rely on the interactionist's view for explaining the concept of perceived employability (Bernston, 2008; Kirves, Kinnunen, De Cuyper & Mäkikangas, 2014).

Perceived employability is recognised as a unique resource for an employee, described as an individual's perception on his/her possibilities for obtaining employment (both in the internal and external market) (Berntson & Marklund, 2007). Employability is significant during turbulent economic and market conditions, especially when job security is in question (Hootegem et al., 2018; De Cuyper et al., 2012). The COR theory states that resources like perceived employability bring a feeling of control over and are inherently related to the individual's resilience (Hobfoll et al., 2003). Perceived employability as a resource induces a sense of control (De Cuyper et al., 2008), helps the individual to overcome hurdles, and succeed in life and career. COR theory argues that employees with high resources consider themselves less vulnerable to adverse effects (Hootegem et al., 2018). Applying this to job insecurity, this means that job-insecure individuals with lower perceived employability are even more vulnerable to negative life consequences (Hobfoll, 2001) compared to those with higher resources (PE). In line with the COR theory, perceived employability can be modelled as a personal resource that increases well-being by buffering the adverse effects of job insecurity (Yeves et al., 2019).

Previous studies have consistently indicated the negative association between employability and psychological strain, burnout, or emotional exhaustion (De Cuyper, Bernhard-Oettel, Berntson, De Witte & Alarco, 2008; Kinnunen, Mäkikangas, Mauno & De Cuyper, 2014; Berntson & Marklund, 2007; Kirves, Kinnunen, De Cuyper & Mäkikangas, 2014). Similarly, studies of Lu et al. (2011) and Siu et al. (2007) reported the same findings, that is, employees with more personal resources experience less strain.

In addition, prior research has pointed out that job-insecure individuals with low perceived employability have a stronger sense of stress (Silla et al., 2009). To date, empirical research on employability as a moderator of the relationship between job insecurity and well-being is scarce. Kuhnert and Vance (1993) observed that employment security moderates the relationship between job insecurity and depression. Mohr (2000) found that the relationship between job insecurity and psychosomatic complaints is more substantial for those with few rather than many chances on the labour market. In a study by Silla et al. (2008), employability perceptions moderated the relationship between job insecurity and life satisfaction. This finding was not replicated for emotional exhaustion, which is a negative trigger factor for individual well-being. Following the above discussion, the authors postulate the following hypotheses.

H2: Perceived employability is negatively related to emotional exhaustion.

H3: Perceived employability moderates the relationship between job insecurity and emotional exhaustion, so that the positive relationship between job insecurity and emotional exhaustion is weaker under the varying condition of perceived employability.

#### METHODOLOGY

#### **Participants**

Data were collected using a structured questionnaire employing an online survey from non-managerial employees working in the financial service sector (private sector) in Kerala, India. Demographic profile of sample is presented in Table 1. Convenient sampling methods have been adopted for sampling, considering the pandemic, and 254 responses were considered for analysis. The scales employed to measure the constructs were adopted from previous studies.

**Table 1: Demographic Profile of the Respondents** 

| Variable      | Category               | Frequency | Percentage |  |
|---------------|------------------------|-----------|------------|--|
| Gender        | Male                   | 148       | 58.3       |  |
|               | Female                 | 106       | 41.7       |  |
| Qualification | Graduation             | 70        | 24.8       |  |
|               | Post-Graduation        | 120       | 47.2       |  |
|               | Professional<br>Degree | 64        | 25.2       |  |
| Age Group     | Below 25               | 63        | 24.8       |  |
|               | 26-35                  | 118       | 71.3       |  |
|               | 36-45                  | 49        | 19.3       |  |
|               | 46-55                  | 19        | 7.5        |  |
|               | Above 55               | 5         | 2          |  |

| Variable | Category  | Frequency | Percentage |
|----------|-----------|-----------|------------|
| Religion | Hindu     | 92        | 36.22      |
|          | Muslim    | 76        | 29.92      |
|          | Christian | 63        | 24.80      |
|          | Others    | 23        | 9.06       |
| Type of  | Nuclear   | 220       | 76.9       |
| Family   | Joint     | 66        | 23.1       |

#### **Measures**

Job Insecurity: A four-item job insecurity scale developed by De Witte (2000) was used to assess job insecurity. Respondents were asked to rate their agreement on statements like 'Chances are, I will soon lose my job' and 'I am sure I can keep my job'. The reliability check supported a satisfactory coefficient alpha (a = .90).

Emotional Exhaustion: A five-item Utrecht Burnout Scale was used to measure emotional exhaustion. Sample items include 'I feel totally exhausted in my job'. Items are scored on a five-point frequency rating scale ranging from '1' (never) to '5' (always). The reliability check supported a good coefficient alpha (a = .94).

Employability: Perceived We assessed perceived employability with a scale developed by Rothwell et al. (2008). There was a total of 11 items in two dimensions. The first dimension was the perceived value of occupation in the current organisation (internal employability) with four items. A sample item was 'Even if there was downsizing in this organisation, I am confident that I would be retained'. The other dimension was the perceived value of occupation outside the current organisation (external employability) with seven items. A sample item was 'I could easily get a similar job to mine in almost any organisation'. This scale employed a five-point Likert scale (1 = strongly disagree, 5 = strongly agree). Cronbach's value for the scale was 0.70.

#### **Control Variables**

Several control variables were included in the model to partial out other potential effects on the moderating variable. In this study, age, total years of experience, and educational level were considered as control variables. These variables are controlled in the study as there are reports from previous studies that these variables potentially impact employability (Fugate et al., 2004; Lin, 2015).

#### **DATA ANALYSIS AND RESULTS**

IBM SPSS 23.0 and AMOS 24.0 were used to perform data analysis. The statistical methods employed mainly involved

descriptive statistics, bootstrapping analyses, confirmatory factor analysis, and structural equation modeling (SEM). An initial normality check was conducted before going for factor analysis. Most of the statistical analysis using regression is based on the belief that data is collected from a normally distributed sample. The normality of data is a necessary prerequisite to perform structural equation modelling. Mostly, normality is checked with skewness and kurtosis, that is, symmetry and skewness. The results are presented in Table 2.

Table 2: Normality-Skewness and Kurtosis

| Variable                | Kurtosis | Skewness |  |
|-------------------------|----------|----------|--|
| Job Insecurity          | 625      | 939      |  |
| Perceived Employability | 1.215    | -1.149   |  |
| Employee Exhaustion     | 073      | 700      |  |

### **Confirmatory Factor Analysis (CFA) and Common Method Bias**

To assess the fit of our data to the proposed measurement model, a confirmatory factor analysis (CFA) was conducted. To confirm the best measurement model, CFA was conducted to compare the four-factor structure to several other competing measurement models. From the values given in Table 3, it is clear that the four-factor model has the best goodness of fit ( $\chi$ 2 (368) = 788.29, p <.01, CFI = 0.949, TLI = 0.944, RMSEA = 0.006, SRMR = 0.07). Convergent validity of the measures was also looked into and the CR values were above the recommended cut-off of 0.7 (Hair et al., 2010) (Job Insecurity = 0.97, Perceived Employability = 0.86, Emotional Exhaustion = 0.92). Results of the single-factor model CFA also supported the absence of common method bias.

**Table 3: Fit Indices for Measurement Models** 

| Measurement<br>Model | df  | Chi-<br>Square | Chi-<br>Square/<br>df | CFI   | RMSEA |
|----------------------|-----|----------------|-----------------------|-------|-------|
| Single factor a      | 376 | 3990.99        | 10.61                 | 0.56  | 0.195 |
| Two factors b        | 375 | 2403           | 6.40                  | 0.75  | 0.146 |
| Three factors c      | 368 | 788.29         | 2.14                  | 0.949 | 0.06  |

N = 254; CFI = comparative fit index; RMSEA = root mean square error of approximation, 90% confidence interval.

- a. All items loaded to a single factor.
- b. Perceived employability and job insecurity loaded into one factor; employee exhaustion to another factor.
- c. All items loaded to their respective factors.

#### **Descriptive Statistics**

Table 4 demonstrates the mean, standard deviation, and correlation among the study's latent variables. Results of

correlation analysis indicate that the association between variables under study is in line with the hypothesised relationships. JI has a statistically significant positive relationship (r = 0.64, p < 0.01) with EE. Further, perceived employability was negatively correlated to EE (r = -0.44, p < 0.01).

Table 4: Descriptive Statistics, Correlations, and Reliabilities for Main Variables

|                       | JI     | PE    | EE   | Gender | Age     | Highest Qualification | Experience |
|-----------------------|--------|-------|------|--------|---------|-----------------------|------------|
| JI                    | 1      |       |      |        |         |                       |            |
| PE                    | 379**  | 1     |      |        |         |                       |            |
| EE                    | .640** | 444** | 1    |        |         |                       |            |
| Gender                | -0.01  | 0.01  | 0.04 | 1      |         |                       |            |
| Age                   | 0.05   | 162** | 0.08 | 0.10   | 1       |                       |            |
| Highest Qualification | -0.04  | 0.11  | 0.02 | .127*  | 0.246** | 1                     |            |
| Experience            | 0.05   | 212** | 0.07 | -0.07  | 0.745** | 0.12                  | 1          |

<sup>\*\*</sup> Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

#### **Hypotheses Testing**

Analytical Strategy

The hypotheses were tested using OLS regression analysis, and the results are presented in Table 5. Model 1 represents the effect of JI and the control variables on EE. The results support H1 ( $\beta = 0.640**$ ). Further, H2 was tested by regression, whereby a significant negative association was reported ( $\beta = -0.460**$ ). As suggested by mainstream studies, Model 2 is implemented to test the quadratic term of JI, looking for the presence of an inverted U-shaped relationship that was found to be insignificant. Finally, in Model 3, the interaction term is proposed to test the interaction effect concerning the moderator effect of PE. Model 1 has an R<sup>2</sup> of 0.41 (adjusted R<sup>2</sup> is 0.39) and an F-value of 43.80 (p < 0.05). Model 2 has an R<sup>2</sup> of 0.41 (adjusted R<sup>2</sup> is 0.406) and an F-value of 35.39 (p < 0.05). Model 3 has an R<sup>2</sup> of 0.45 (adjusted R<sup>2</sup> is 0.42) and an F-value of 31.55 (p < 0.05).

The results indicate that JI positively affects EE (Model 1). The analysis also puts in evidence that the quadratic term that enters in the regression (Model 2) is insignificant, confirming the existence of a threshold up to a certain point, where JI, when increased, can lead to enhanced EE.

The most exciting and innovative result of this research emerges when the interaction term enters in the regression model. Following the procedures for testing interaction effects suggested by Aiken et al (1991), the independent variable was standardised before creating the multiplicative terms, thereby reducing possible distortion caused by strong correlations between the interaction term and its components. So, Model 3 presents the consequences of the interaction between JI and PE on EE. The results indicate

that our hypothesis (H3) is supported by the coefficients, and the interaction terms are significant.

Moreover, Model 3 has higher values of  $R^2$  (for the adjusted  $R^2$  0.42 compared to 0.39 and 0.40), showing a higher explanatory power than the others, reinforcing the results of this study. This means that persons with high JI and high PE lead to less EE. Regarding the control variables, three of the variables used in the different regressions do not significantly contribute to emotional exhaustion.

Table 5: Results of Hierarchical Regression Analysis (Dependent - Emotional Exhaustion)

| Variables  | Model 1  | Model 2  | Model 3  |
|--|----------|----------|----------|
| Job Insecurity                                     | 0.640**  | -0.026   | 0.718    |
| Job Insecurity^2                                   |          | 0.669    | -0.013   |
| Job Insecurity * Perceived Employability           |          |          | -0.16**  |
| Total Work Experience                              | 0.015    | 0.026    | 0.014    |
| Age  | 0.024    | 0.002    | -0.015   |
| Highest Qualification (1 = Grad, 2 = PG, 3 = Prof) | 0.04     | 0.049    | 0.067    |
| R <sup>2</sup>                                     | 0.41     | 0.416    | 0.435    |
| Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>                            | 0.404    | 0.405    | 0.42     |
| F-Value  | 43.801** | 35.393** | 31.559** |

<sup>\*\*</sup> Significant at 95%.

#### **DISCUSSION**

Previous research has been univocal on the impact of job insecurity on well-being, i.e., job insecurity leads to decreased well-being among employees. The purpose of the

<sup>\*</sup> Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed).

study is to understand the means by which this effect can be minimised. Specifically, the authors suggested the buffering role of perceived employability in the association between job insecurity and emotional exhaustion on the backdrop of conservation of resource theory. The study identified that perceived employability could alleviate the negative impact on well-being. Perceived employability moderated the relationship between job insecurity and emotional exhaustion.

Our findings reiterate the importance of developing employability among employees. Enhanced employability is beneficial for employees who feel insecure in their job or perceive insecurity in their current employment. The positive relationship between job insecurity and emotional exhaustion has been inversed by introducing perceived employability as a moderator. This means that employees who have developed their employability feel less threatened by job insecurity and have enhanced well-being. The findings are in line with previous discourses on the prominence of employability in employees' health and well-being (De Cuyper, Mäkikangas, Kinnunen, Mauna, & De Witte, 2012; Berntson, 2008; Forrier & Sels, 2003).

This study makes several significant theoretical and practical contributions. Prior research has investigated the link between job insecurity and employees' well-being, which is often conceptualised by work-related well-being, psychological well-being, or health. The nature of this link was rather equivocal, as researchers hypothesised a positive versus negative relation depending on the tenet that was chosen (Blau et al., 2008; Sanders et al., 2011). Some studies intended to learn from an organisational perspective, like commitment and engagement, while other works looked into individual implications like burnout, stress, and general health. Our study specifically looked into the impact on emotional exhaustion. The study also investigated the possible moderating role of perceived employability, where a significant interaction was reported. In addition, our analysis advances insights on COR theory, by focusing on an outcome by which the accumulation of resources can minimise an adverse effect. The present study provides empirical support for the importance of the development of resources in facing job insecurity. By exploring the novel two-way interactions moderating emotional exhaustion, this contribution to the field of job insecurity will help in coping with the increasing tension between labour instability and emotional distress. Practically, employability enhancement acts as a black swan strategy for both individuals and organisations to sail through the different hurdles that the market puts forward.

#### **CONCLUSION**

Our results suggest that the employees working in the financial service sector perceive high job insecurity, which directly leads to emotional exhaustion. The earlier research results indicate an inverse association, suggesting that the deleterious effect of job insecurity on work-related wellbeing is not country-specific and industry-specific. Furthermore, this research observed that perceived employability is a personal coping resource buffer against the job insecurity-emotional exhaustion association. The authors considered only one aspect of work-related wellbeing, i.e., emotional exhaustion. Future research may include other well-being elements, such as, vigour at work, to understand the role of perceived employability in the association between JI and work-related well-being. Further, longitudinal cross-lagged research may be conducted to confirm causality.

#### **REFERENCES**

Ashford, S., Lee, C., & Bobko, P. (1989). Content, causes and consequences of job insecurity: A theory based measure and substantive test. *Academy of Management Journal*, *4*(4), 803-829.

Benach, J., Vives, A., Amable, M., Vanroelen, C., Tarafa, G., & Muntaner, C. (2014). Precarious employment: Understanding an emerging social determinant of health. *Annual Review of Public Health*, *35*(1), 229-253. doi:10.1146/annurev-publhealth-032013-182500

Berglund, T., Furåker, B., & Vulkan, P. (2014). Is job insecurity compensated for by employment and income security? *Economic and Industrail Democracy*, 35, 165-184.

Bernerth, J., Walker, H., Walter, F., & Hirschfeld, R. (2011). A study of workplace justice differences during times of change. *The Journal of Applied Behavioral Science*, 47(3), 336-359. doi:10.1177/0021886311404929

Berntson, E. (2008). *Employability perceptions: Nature, determinants, and implications forhealth and well-being* (Doctoral dissertation). Retrieved from http://www.divaportal.org/smash/get/diva2:198489/FULLTEXT 01.pdf

Berntson, E., & Marklund, S. (2007). The relationship between perceived employability and subsequent health. *Work & Stress*, 21(3), 279-292. doi:10.1080/02678370701659215

Berntson, E., Bernhard-Oettel, C., & De Cuyper, N. (2007). The moderating role of employability in the relationship between organizational changes and job insecurity. Paper

- Presented at the 13th European Congress of Work and Organizational Psychology.
- Berntson, E., Naswall, K., & Sverke, M. (2010). The moderating role of employability in the association between job insecurity and exit, voice, loyalty and neglect. Economic and Industrail Democracy, 31, 215-230.
- Callea, A., Lo Presti, A., Mauno, S., & Urbini, F. (2019). The associations of quantitative/qualitative job insecurity and well-being: The role of self-esteem. International Journal of Stress Management, 26(1), 46-56. doi:10.1037/ str0000091
- Charkhabi, M. (2019). Quantitative job insecurity and well-being: Testing the mediating role of hindrance and challenge appraisals. Frontiers in Psychology, 9. doi:10.3389/fpsyg.2018.02776.
- Cheng, G.-L., & Chan, D.-S. (2008). Who suffers more from job insecurity? A meta-analytic review. Applied Psychology: An International Review, 57, 272-303. doi:10.1111/j.1464-0597.2007.00312.x.
- Chi, S., & Liang, S. (2013). When do subordinates' emotionregulation strategies matter? Abusive supervision, subordinates' emotional exhaustion, and work withdrawal. The Leadership Quarterly, 24, 125-137. doi:10.1016/j. leaqua.2012.08.
- Cropanzano, R., Deborah, E., & Byrne, Z. (2003). The relationship of emotional exhaustion to work attitudes, performance, and organizational citizenship behaviors. Journal of Applied Psychology, 88(1), 160-169. doi:10.1037/0021-9010.88.1.160.
- Cuyper, N., & Witte, N. (2006). The impact of job insecurity and contract type on attitudes, well-being and behavioural reports: A psychological contract perspective. Journal of Occupational and Organizational Psychology, 79(3), 395-409. doi:10.1348/096317905x53660.
- De Cuyper, N., Bernhard-Oettel, C., Berntson, E., De Witte, H., & Alarco, B. (2008). Employability and employees' well-being: Mediation by job insecurity. Applied Psychology: An International Review, 57(3), 488-509. doi:10.1111/j.1464-0597.200.
- De Cuyper, N., & De Witte, H. (2008). Job insecurity and employability among temporary workers: A theoratical approach based on the psychological contract. In K. Na'swall, J. Hellgren & M. Sverke, The Individual in the Changing Working Life (pp. 88-107). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- De Cuyper, N., De Witte, H., Kinnunen, U., & Nätti, J. (2010). The relationship between job insecurity and employability and well-being among finnish temporary and permanent employees. International Studies of Management & Organization, 40(1), 57-73. doi:10.2753/ imo0020-8825400104.

- De Cuyper, N., De Witte, H., Vander Elst, T., & Handaja, Y. (2010). Objective threat of unemployment and situational uncertainty during a restructuring: Associations with perceived job insecurity and strain. Journal of Business and Psychology, 25, 75-85. doi:10.1007/s10869-009-9128-y.
- De Cuyper, N., Mäkikangas, A., Kinnunen, U., Mauno, S., & De Witte, H. (2012). Cross-lagged associations between perceived external employability, job insecurity, and exhaustion: Testing gain and loss spirals according to the conservation of resources theory. Journal of Organizational Behavior, 33, 770-788. doi:10.1002/ job.1800.
- De Grip, A., Van Loo, J., & Sanders, J. (2004). The industry employability index: Taking account of supply and demand characteristics. International Labour Review, 143(3), 211-233. doi:10.1111/j.1564-913x.2004.tb00269.x.
- De Witte, H., Pienaar, J., & De Cuyper, N. (2016). Review of 30 years of longitudinal studies on the association between job insecurity and health and well-being: Is there causal evidence? Australian Psychologist, 51(1), 18-31. doi:10.1111/ap.12176.
- Demerouti, E., Bakker, A., de Jonge, J., Janssen, P., & Schaufeli, W. (2001). Burnout and engagement at work as a function of demands and control. Scandinavian Journal of Work, Environment & Health, 27(4), 279-286. doi:10.5271/sjwe.
- Forrier, A., & Sels, L. (2003). Temporary employment and employability: Training opportunities and efforts of temporary and permanent employees in Belgium. Work, Employment and Society, 17(4), 641-666. doi:10.1177/0950017003.
- Halbesleben, J., & Bowler, W. (2007). Emotional exhaustion and job performance: The mediating role of motivation. Journal of Applied Psychology, J92(1), 93-106. doi:10.1037/0021-9010.92.1.93.
- Hobfoll, S. (1989). Conservation of resources: A new approach at conceptualizing stress. American Psychologist, 44(3), 513-524. doi:10.1037/0003-066X.44.3.513.
- Hobfoll, S. E. (2001). The influence of culture, community, and the nested self in the stress process: Advancing conservation of resources theory. Applied Psychology: An International Review, 50(3), 337-421.
- Hobfoll, S. E., Johnson, R. J., Ennis, N., & Jackson, A. P. (2003). Resource loss, resource gain, and emotional outcomes among inner city women. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 84(3), 632-643. doi:10.1037/0022-3514.84.3.632.
- Hoops, B. (1999). Review of the truth about burn-out: How organizations cause personal stress and what to do about it. Psychiatric Rehabilitation Journal, 23(2), 194-195. doi:10.1037/h0095170.

- Hurrel (Eds.). (n.d.). *Stress and wellbeing at work* (pp. 48-63). Washington, DC: American Psychological Association.
- Iris, B., & Richte, A. (2020). Validation of the QJIM: A measure of qualitative job insecurity. *Work & Stress*, 34(4), 406-417. doi:10.1080/02678373.2020.1719553.
- Jacobson, D., & Hartley, J. (1991). Mapping the context. In J. Hartley, D. Jacobson, B. Klandermans & T. Van Vuuren. *Job Insecurity: Coping with Jobs at Work* (pp. 1-22). London: Sage.
- Jiang, L., & Probst, T. (2015). The relationship between safety-production conflict and employee safety outcomes: Testing the impact of multiple organizational climates. *Work Stress*, 29, 171-189. doi:10.1080/02678373.2015.1 032384.
- Jung, H., Jung, Y., & Yoon, H. (2021). COVID-19: The effects of job insecurity on the job engagement and turnover intent of deluxe hotel employees and the moderating role of generational characteristics. *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, 92. doi:10.1016/j. ijhm.2020.102703.
- Kausto, J., Elo, A.-L., Lipponen, J., & Elovainio. (2005). Moderating effects of job insecurity in the relationships between procedural justice and employee well-being: Gender differences. *European Journal of Work and Organizational Psychology*, 14, 431-452. doi:10.1080/13594320500349813.
- Kerse, G., Kocak, D., & Ozdemir, S. (2018). Does the perception of job insecurity bring emotional exhaustion the relationship between job insecurity, affective commitment and emotional exhaustion. *Business and Economics Research Journal*, *6*(3), 651-663. doi:10.20409/berj.2018.129.
- Kinnunen, U., Mäkikangas, A., Mauno, S., & De Cuyper, N. (2014). Development of perceived job insecurity across two years: Associations with antecedents and employee outcomes. *Journal of Occupational Health Psychology*, 19(2), 243-58. doi:10.1037/a0035835.
- Kirves, K., Kinnunen, U., De Cuyper, N., & Mäkikangas, A. (2014). Trajectories of perceived employability and their associations with well-being at work: A three-wave study. *Journal of Personnel Psychology*, 13(1), 46-57. doi:10.1027/1866-5888/a000103.
- Lam, J., Fan, W., & Moen, P. (2014). Is insecurity worse for well-being in turbulent times? Mental health in context. Society and Mental Health, 4, 55-73.
- Lam, C., Liang, J., Ashford, S., & Lee, C. (2015). Job insecurity and organizational citizenship behavior: Exploring curvilinear and moderated relationships. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 100(2), 499-510. doi:10.1037/a0038659.

- Lazarus, R. (1999). *Stress and emotion: A new synthesis*. New York: NY: Springer.
- Lazarus, R., & Folkman, S. (1984). *Stress appraisal and coping*. New York: NY: Springer.
- Lee, R., & Ashforth, B. (1996). A meta-analytic examination of the correlates of the three dimensions of job burnout. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 81(2), 123-133. doi:10.1037/0021-9010.81.2.123.
- Li, F., Wang, G., Li, Y., & Zhou, R. (2017). Job demands and driving anger: The roles of emotional exhaustion and work engagement. *Accident Analysis & Prevention*, *98*, 198-205. doi:10.1016/j.aap.2016.10.013.
- Mäkikangas, A., & Kinnunen, U. (2003). Psychosocial work stressors and well-being: Self-Esteem and optimism as moderators in a one-year longitudinal sample. *Personality and Individual Differences*, *35*, 537-557. doi:10.1016/s0191-8869(02)00217-9.
- Maslach, C., Jackson, S., & Leiter, M. (1996). *Maslach burnout inventory manual* (3<sup>rd</sup> ed.). Palo Alto: CA: Consulting Psychologist Press. doi:10.1080/135943 2X.2012.665230.
- McCarthy, J., Trougakos, J., & Cheng, B. (2016). Are anxious workers less productive workers? It depends on the quality of social exchange. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 101(2), 279 291. doi:10.1037/apl0000044.
- McDonough, P. (2000). Job insecurity and health. *International Journal of Health Services*, *30*(3), 453-476. doi:10.2190/bpfg-x3me-lhta-6rpv.
- Mohr, G. B. (2000). The changing significance of different stressors after the announcement of bankruptcy: A longitudinal investigation with special emphasis on job insecurity. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, *21*(3), 337-359.
- Niesen, V., Van Hootegem, A., Vander Elst, T., Battistelli, A., & De Witte, H. (2018). Job insecurity and innovative work behaviour: A psychological contract perspective. *Psychologica Belgica*, *57*(4), 174-189. doi:10.5334/pb.381.
- Niesen, W., De Witte, H., & Battistelli, A. (2014). An explanatory model of job insecurity and innovative work behaviour: Insights from social exchange and threat rigidity theory. In S. Leka & R. Sinclair, *Contemporary Occupational Health Pscychology: Global Perspective on Research & Practice* (pp. 13-34). UK: Wiley Blackwell.
- Pines, A., & Aronson, E. (1988). *Career burnout: Causes and cures*. Free Press.
- Probst, T. (2008). Job insecurity. In C. Cooper & J. Barling, *The SAGE Handbook of Organizational Behavior* (pp. 178-195). London: Sage.
- Randa, D.-B., & Abrar, A.-E. (2020). The impact of COVID-19 pandemic on conventional work settings.

- International Journal of Sociology and Social Policy,. doi:10.1108/ijssp-07-2020-0262.
- Richter, A., Naswall, K., Bernhard-Oettel, C., & Sverke, M. (2014). Job insecurity and well-being: The moderating role of job dependence. European Journal of Work and Organizational Psychology, 23(6), 816-829. doi:10.1080/ 1359432X.2013.805881.
- Shoss, M. (2017). Job insecurity: An integrative review and agenda for future research. Journal of Management, 43(6), 1911-1939. doi:10.1177/0149206317691574.
- Silla, I., De Cuyper, N., Gracia, F., Peiró, J. M., & De Witte, H. (2009). Job insecurity and well-being moderation by employability. Journal of Happiness Studies, 10, 739-751. doi:10.1007/s10902 008-9119-0.
- Sora, B., Caballer, A., Peiró, J., Silla, I., & Gracia, F. (2010). Moderating influence of organizational justice on the relationship between job insecurity and its outcomes: A multilevel analysis. Economic and Industrial Democracy, *31*, 613-637.
- Van Hootegem, A., De Witte, H., De Cuyper, N., & Elst, T. V. (2019). Job insecurity and the willingness to undertake training: The moderating role of perceived

- employability. Journal of Career Development, 46(4), 395-409.
- Vander Elst, T., den Broeck, A., De Cuyper, N., & De Witte, H. (2014). On the reciprocal relationship between job insecurity and employee well-being: Mediation by perceived control? Journal of Occupational and Organizational Psychology, 87(4), 671-693. doi:10.1111/ joop.12068.
- Wilson, J., Lee, J., Fitzgerald, H., Oosterhoff, B., Sevi, B., & Shook, N. (2020). Job insecurity and financial concern during the COVID-19 pandemic are associated with worse mental health. Journal of Occupational & Environmental Medicine, 62(9), 686-691. doi:10.1097/ jom.000000000001962.
- Wright, T., & Cropanzano, R. (1998). Emotional exhaustion as a predictor of job performance and voluntary turnover. Journal of Applied Psychology, 83(3), 486-493. doi:10.1037/0021-9010.83.3.486.
- Yeves, J., Bargsted, M., Cortes, L., Merino, C., & Cavada, G. (2019). Age and perceived employability as moderators of job insecurity and job satisfaction: A moderated moderation model. Frontiers in Psychology, 10, 799.