

## **Analysis and classification of altered fingerprints using FSDCA**

**Josphineleela. R, M. Ramakrishnan**

**Abstract:** In this paper we are analyzing the altered fingerprints and detecting fingerprints using the FSDCA. There are three types of altered fingerprints that are obliteration, distortion and imitations. Recent problems in the pattern recognition are alteration. The criminals can easily evade from their identification by altering their finger. To overcome this problem we have proposed this method

**Keywords:** FSDCA, Altered fingerprint, Obliteration, Distortion, Imitations

### **1. INTRODUCTION**

The emerging problem of the automatic fingerprint recognition security system can not identify the fingerprints which are altered .because of this problem the criminals can easily evade from their identification.To overcome this problem we have proposed method .Using this method we can find out he criminals alteration in fingerprint.

It is relatively easy to alter one's fingerprint using chemical and abrasives compared to say one's iris or face where a more elaborate surgical procedure may be required[1].

Mutilated fingerprints are being routinely encountered by law enforcement and immigration officials in several countries, thereby underscoring the urgency of finding a solution to this problem

#### **1.1 Types of altered fingerprints**

There are three types of altered fingerprints obliteration, distortion and imitation[10]

##### **1.1.1 Obliteration**

Friction ridge patterns on fingerprints can be obliterated by abrading, cutting, burning and transplanting applying strong chemicals and transplanting smooth skin. Skin disease like leprosy and side effect of a cancer drug can also obliterated fingerprints

##### **1.1.2 Distortion**

Friction ridge patterns on fingerprints can be turned into unnatural ridge patterns by removing portions of skin from fingerprint and either grafting them back in different positions.

Distorted fingerprints have unusual ridge patterns which are not found in natural fingerprints

### 1.1.3 Imitation

Friction ridge patterns on fingerprints can still preserve fingerprints-like pattern after an elaborate procedure of fingerprint alteration. Portion of skin is removed from the fingerprint




Fig 1: Types of Altered Fingerprints

## 2. THE RESEARCH METHOD

Fingerprints are used to Identify Objects, Compare Objects Remotely and Test an Object for Changes. Since fingerprints are smaller, they are very useful as stand-ins for remote objects. The primary purpose of fingerprint alteration is to evade identification using techniques that vary from abrading, cutting, accidents etc. In this paper, we propose classification method FSDCA(Fuzzy-System Design Classification Algorithm) for identifying criminals by purposely altering their fingerprints. Gray level co-occurrence matrix for Altered fingerprint classification consists of Pre-processing, Feature Extraction, Classification.

**Motivation:** The motivation behind the work is growing need to identify a person for security. The fingerprint is one of the popular biometric methods used to authenticate human being. The proposed enhancement method provides reliable and better result for classification of altered fingerprints.

**Contribution:** In this paper we used FSDCA algorithm for classifying the altered Fingerprint into three types of fingerprints (obliteration, distortion, and imitations) with the help of JAVA codes. Organization: This paper is organized into the following. Preprocessing, Feature Extraction, classification, Result finally the Conclusions.




Fig 2: System Structure

Pre-processing consists of Normalization, segmentation, binarization, thinning.

### 3. PRE-PROCESSING

The fingerprint image gets corrupted due to irregularities and non-uniformity in the impression taken and due to variations in the skin and the presence of the scars, humidity, dirt etc. To overcome these problems, to reduce noise and enhance the definition of ridges against valleys, various techniques are applied as following.

#### 3.1 Normalization

Image normalization is the next step in fingerprint enhancement process. Normalization is a process of standardizing the intensity values in an image so that these intensity values lie within a certain desired range. It can be done by adjusting the range of grey-level values in the image. Let  $G(i, j)$  denotes the grey-level value at pixel  $(i, j)$ , and  $N(i, j)$  represent the normalized grey-level value at pixel  $(i, j)$ .

$$N(i, j) = \begin{cases} M_0 + \sqrt{\frac{V_0(G(i,j)-M)^2}{V}} & , if I(i, j) > M \\ M_0 - \sqrt{\frac{V_0(G(i,j)-M)^2}{V}} & , otherwise \end{cases}$$

Where,

$M_0$  and  $V_0$  are the estimated mean and variance of  $I(i, j)$ , respectively.



Figure 3: (a) A good quality fingerprint image, (b) A poor quality fingerprint, and (c) a noisy fingerprint image.

### 3.2 Segmentation

Image segmentation separates the foreground regions and the background regions in the image. The foreground regions refer to the clear fingerprint area which contains the ridges and valleys. This is the area of interest. The background regions refer to the regions which are outside the borders of the main fingerprint area, which does not contain any important or valid fingerprint information. The extraction of noisy and false minutiae can be done by applying minutiae extraction algorithm to the background regions of the image.

Thus, segmentation is a process by which we can discard these background regions, which results in more reliable extraction of minutiae points. We are going to use a method based on variance thresholding . The background regions exhibit a very low grey - scale variance value, whereas the foreground regions have a very high variance. Firstly, the image is divided into blocks and the grey-scale variance is calculated for each block in the image. If the variance is less than the global threshold, then the block is assigned to be part of background region or else it is part of foreground. The grey - level variance for a block of size  $S \times S$  can be calculated as:

$$Var(k) = \frac{1}{S^2} \sum_{i=0}^{S-1} \sum_{j=0}^{S-1} (G(i,j) - M(k))^2$$

where ,

$Var(k)$  is the grey - level variance for the block  $k$  ,

$G(i,j)$  is the grey – level value at pixel  $(i,j)$ , and

$M(k)$  denotes the mean grey - level value for the corresponding block  $k$  .

### 3.3 Binarization

Most minutiae extraction algorithms operate on basically binary images where there are only two levels of interest: the black pixels represent ridges, and the white pixels represent valleys. Binarization converts a grey level image into a binary image. This helps in improving the contrast between the ridges and valleys in a fingerprint image and consequently facilitates the extraction of minutiae. One very useful property of the Gabor filter is that it contains a component of zero, which indicates that the resulting filtered image has a zero mean pixel value. Hence, binarization of the image can be done by using a global threshold of zero. Binarization involves examining the grey-level value of every pixel in the enhanced image, and, if the grey-level value is greater than the predefined global threshold, then the pixel value is set to value one; else, it is set to zero. The outcome of binarization is a binary image which contains two levels of information, the background valleys and the foreground ridges.

### 3.4 Fingerprint Ridge Thinning

Thinning is the process of reducing the thickness of each line of patterns to just a single pixel width. The requirements of a good thinning algorithm with respect to a fingerprint are

- The thinned fingerprint image obtained should be of single pixel width with no discontinuities.
- Each ridge should be thinned to its centre pixel.
- Noise and singular pixels should be eliminated.
- No further removal of pixels should be possible after completion of thinning process.

In each scan of the full fingerprint image, the algorithm marks down redundant pixels in each small image window (3x3). And finally removes all those marked pixels after several scans. But it is tested that such an iterative, parallel thinning algorithm has bad efficiency although it can get an ideal thinned ridge map after enough scans. A one-in-all method to extract thinned ridges from gray-level fingerprint images directly. Their method traces along the ridges having maximum gray intensity value. The advancement of each trace step still has large computation complexity although it does not require the movement of pixel by pixel as in other thinning algorithms.

### 3.5 Enhanced Thinning

Ridge Thinning is to eliminate the redundant pixels of ridges till the ridges are just one pixel wide. Ideally, the width of the skeleton should be strictly one pixel. However, this is not always true. There are still some locations, where the skeleton has a two-pixel width at some erroneous pixel locations. An erroneous pixel is defined as the one with more than two 4-connected neighbors.

The existence of erroneous pixels may

- a) Destroy the integrity of spurious bridges and spurs,
- b) Exchange the type of minutiae points, and
- c) Miss detect true bifurcations

Therefore, before minutiae extraction, there is a need to develop a validation algorithm to eliminate the erroneous pixels while preserving the skeleton connectivity at the fork regions. For this purpose an enhanced thinning algorithm is bid out.

#### 3.5.1 Enhanced thinning algorithm

Step 1: Scanning the skeleton of fingerprint image row by row from top-left to bottom-right. Check if the pixel is 1.

Step 2: Count its four connected neighbors.

Step 3: If the sum is greater that two, mark it as an erroneous pixel.

Step 4: Remove the erroneous pixel.

Step 5: Repeat steps 1 – 4 until whole of the image is scanned and the erroneous pixels are removed.



**Figure 4: (a) Fingerprint ridge thinning, (b) Enhanced thinning,**



**Fig 5: Result of Pre-processing**

#### 4. FEATURE EXTRACTION

We compute Matrix for the fixed  $d$  and  $\theta=0,45,90$  and  $135$  so we have 4 matrices with regular texture regions. Based on each computed matrix, 12 features[2] that can successfully characterize the statistical behavior of a matrix are extracted. They are as follows:

1) **Maximum probability**

$$f_1 = \sum_i \sum_j p(i, j)$$

2) **Contrast**

$$f_2 = \sum_i \sum_j (i - j)^2 p(i, j)$$

3) **Entropy**

$$f_3 = - \sum_i \sum_j (p(i, j) / \log p(i, j))$$

4) **Angular Second Moment**

$$f_4 = \sum_i \sum_j p(i, j)^2$$

5) **Homogeneity**

$$f_5 = \sum_i \sum_j (p(i, j) / (1 + |i - j|))$$

6) **Dissimilarity**

$$f_6 = \sum_i \sum_j |i - j| p(i, j)$$

7) **Mean**

$$f_7 = \sum_i \sum_j p(i, j) / m * n$$

Where m and n are the rows and columns in p respectively.

$$8) \text{ Correlation} \quad f_8 = \sum_i \sum_j \frac{(i - \mu_x)(j - \mu_y)p(i, j)}{\sigma_x \sigma_y}$$

Where  $\mu_x, \mu_y$  are the means and  $\sigma_x, \sigma_y$  are the standard deviations of  $p_x, p_y$  respectively.

If we define  $s = \sum_i \sum_j p(i, j)$ , we can extract 4 other feature as follows:

9)

$$f_9 = \frac{\sum_i \sum_j \frac{p(i, j)}{j^2}}{s}$$

10)

$$f_{10} = \frac{\sum_i \sum_j j^2 p(i, j)}{s}$$

11)

$$f_{11} = \frac{\sum_i (\sum_j p(i, j))^2}{s}$$

12)

$$f_{12} = \frac{\sum_j (\sum_i p(i, j))^2}{s}$$

## 5. CLASSIFICATION OF ALTERED FINGERPRINTS USING FSDCA (FUZZY SYSTEM DESIGN CLASSIFICATION ALGORITHM)

The inference rules in fuzzy logic given below is used for evaluating our process

### Inference Rules in Fuzzy Logic

(i) Two Objects are equal if and only if all properties applied to them are equivalent

$$\forall x, y (x=y) \Leftrightarrow (\forall p p(x) \Leftrightarrow p(y))$$

(ii) Two functions are equal if and only if they have same value for all arguments.

$$\forall f, g (f=g) \Leftrightarrow (\forall x f(x)=g(x))$$

**Algorithm:**

**Input:** Altered Fingerprints

**Output:** Any one type of altered fingerprints Obliteration, distortion and imitations

**Step 1:** Image is given as an input to the preprocessing .In preprocessing the image is de-noised

**Step 2:** From the de-noising image, the features are extracted and that are stored in the matrix

**Step 3:** Making the inference rules related to the problem and comparing the features with the given input image if any one rule is matched with an input

**Step 4:** Finally the result is Reached

## 6. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

To test the proposed approach, two databases are used one was collected from the hospital that is used for testing(DB1 And DB2)and another database contains the three images (obliteration,distortion and immmitations). DB1 database contains 4000 images that are classified using the FSDCA algorithm.



**Fig 5:Sample result of Classification**

## 7. CONCLUSION

We have proposed the algorithm FSDCA for the altered fingerprint classification.The altered fingerprint features are extracted in the matrix and that can well characterize the regular texture of fingerprint images. The better result is obtained for altered fingerprints.

## 8. REFERENCES

1. Karthik Nandakumar, Anil K. Jain, and Sharath Pankanti, "Fingerprint-

- Based FuzzyVault:Implementation and Performance”, IEEE transactions, Vol. 2, No. 4, December 2007.
2. Peng Shi, Jie Tian, Qi Su, and Xin Yang, “A Novel Fingerprint Matching AlgorithmBased on Minutiae and Global Statistical Features”, IEEE Conference, 2007.
  3. Neeta Nain, Deepak B M, Dinesh Kumar, Manisha Baswal, and Biju Gautham“Optimized Minutiae–Based Fingerprint Matching”, Proceedings, 2008.
  4. Stephan huckemann,Thonmas Hotz, and Axel Munk, “Global Models for theOrientation Field of Fingerprints: An Approach Based on Quadratic Differentials”, IEEETransactions, Sep.2008
  5. Haiyun Xu, RaymondN.J.Veldhuis, Asker M.Bazen,Tom A.M.Kevenaer, TonA.H.M.Akkermans, and BerkGokberk, “Fingerprint Verification Using Spectral MinutiaeRepresentations”, IEEE Transactions,Vol.4, pp.397-409, Sep.2009.
  6. Anil K.Jain, and jianjiang feng, “Latent Fingerprint Matching”, IEEE Transactions,Vol.33,pp.88-100, Jan.2011.
  7. Yi (Alice) Wang, and Jiankun Hu, “Global Ridge Orientation modelling for PartialFingerprint Identification,” IEEE Transactions,Vol.33,Pg.72-87, Jan.2011
  8. A.Ross, J.Shah, and A.K.Jain, “From Template to Images Reconstructing Fingerprints from Minutiae Points”, IEEE Transactions, Vol.29, pp.544-560, Apr.2007.
  9. R.Cappelli, A.Lumini, D.Maio, and D.Maltoni, “Fingerprint Image Reconstruction from Standard Templates”, IEEE Transactions, Vol.29, pp.1489-1503, Sept.2007.
  10. Anil k. jain “Altered Fingerprints Analysis and detection” IEEE transactions on pattern analysis and machine intelligence vol34,2012.

**AUTHOR’S PROFILE:**

Josphineleela.R : Research scholar, Sathyabama University, Chennai.

M.Ramakrishnan: Department of Information Technology, velammal Engineering College, Chennai.